

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

3-Methylanilinium nitrate

Melanie Rademeyer* and David C. Liles

Department of Chemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: melanie.rademeyer@up.ac.za


Received 28 April 2010; accepted 31 May 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003$ Å; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.135; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.9.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$, the 3-methylanilinium cations interact with the nitrate anions through strong bifurcated $\text{N}^+-\text{H}\cdots(\text{O},\text{O})$ hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional hydrogen-bonded network.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Benali-Cherif *et al.* (2007, 2009).
For hydrogen-bond motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$ $M_r = 170.17$ Orthorhombic, $Pbca$ $a = 10.6599$ (14) Å $b = 9.7800$ (13) Å $c = 16.401$ (2) Å $V = 1709.9$ (4) Å³ $Z = 8$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.11$ mm⁻¹ $T = 293$ K $0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.05$ mm

Data collection

Bruker (Siemens) P4 diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)

 $T_{\min} = 0.968$, $T_{\max} = 0.988$

8520 measured reflections

1659 independent reflections

1211 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.032$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$ $wR(F^2) = 0.135$ $S = 1.06$

1659 reflections

111 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.14$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{i}}$	0.89	2.05	2.943 (2)	178
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{i}}$	0.89	2.51	3.130 (2)	127
$\text{N1}-\text{H1B}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{ii}}$	0.89	2.15	3.0221 (19)	167
$\text{N1}-\text{H1B}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.89	2.37	3.078 (2)	136
$\text{N1}-\text{H1C}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{iii}}$	0.89	2.01	2.879 (2)	166
$\text{N1}-\text{H1C}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iii}}$	0.89	2.47	3.176 (2)	137

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$; (ii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Funding received for this work from the University of Pretoria and the National Research Foundation (GUN: 2054350) is acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KJ2146).

References

- Benali-Cherif, N., Boussekine, H., Boutobba, Z. & Dadda, N. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o2744.
Benali-Cherif, N., Kateb, A., Boussekine, H., Boutobba, Z. & Messai, A. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o3251.
Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimon, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **34**, 1555–1573.
Bruker (2001). *SMART, SAINT and SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Farrugia, L. J. (1999). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **32**, 837–838.
Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **39**, 453–457.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o1685 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810020738]

3-Methylanilinium nitrate

M. Rademeyer and D. C. Liles

Comment

A fundamental understanding of the role of oxyanion geometry on molecular packing and non-covalent interactions in salt crystal structures is central to the fields of both molecular recognition and crystal engineering. The crystal structure of the title compound was determined as part of a project focusing on the role of anions when combined with alkylammonium or arylammonium cations. The structures of the related compounds *p*-toluidinium nitrate (Benali-Cherif *et al.*, 2009) and *o*-toluidinium nitrate (Benali-Cherif *et al.*, 2007) have been reported in the literature.

The molecular geometry and labelling scheme of the title compound is illustrated in Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit contains one 3-methylanilinium cation and one trigonal planar nitrate anion. A layered structure consisting of alternating organic and inorganic layers is exhibited by the title compound. The organic layers contain the hydrophobic part of the cation, while the inorganic layers comprise the ammonium groups and nitrate anions. The molecular packing of the title compound, viewed down the *b*-axis, is illustrated in Fig 2(a). In the organic layer pairs of cations alternate in orientation, with all the aromatic groups packing in a single row. Aromatic interactions are present between pairs of parallel cations, packing in a head-to-tail, offset π -stacking fashion, with a centroid-to-centroid distance of 3.6347 (12) Å. Neighbouring parallel cation pairs pack with aromatic planes at an angle of 57°. The ammonium groups of pairs of parallel cations point to pairs of nitrate anions, interacting through strong, charge assisted N⁺—H \cdots O⁻ hydrogen bonds, listed in Table 1. Each ammonium group is hydrogen bonded to three different nitrate anions through three bifurcated hydrogen bonds to six different oxygen atoms, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). In each bifurcated hydrogen bond, one of the interactions displays an N⁺—H \cdots O⁻ interaction angle closer to 180°, while the angle of the second interaction deviates significantly more from linearity. In addition, for each bifurcated interaction, the two N⁺—H \cdots O⁻ angles and the O—HO⁻ angle add up to approximately 360°. Each nitrate anion accepts three bifurcated hydrogen bonds from three different ammonium groups. The oxygen atom, O3, which accepts two approximately linear hydrogen bonds, exhibits a shorter N—O bond distance compared to the other N—O bonds.

The hydrogen bonding interactions result in a two-dimensional hydrogen bonded sheet, parallel to the *ab*-plane, as illustrated in Fig. 2(b). Two types of hydrogen bonded rings are present in the sheet. The larger of the two can be described by the graph set notation R³₆(12), while the smaller ring is described by R²₁(4) (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995).

Experimental

3-Methylanilinium nitrate was prepared by the dropwise addition of excess concentrated nitric acid (0.90 ml, 70%, Saarchem) to a solution of *m*-toluidine (0.50 ml, 99%, Aldrich) in 20 ml chloroform (99%, Saarchem). Slow evaporation of the chloroform solution at room temperature gave colourless crystals.

Refinement

All H atoms were refined using a riding model (HFIX 33 for N1 and C7), with C—H distances either 0.93 or 0.96 Å and N—H distances of 0.89 Å, and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ or $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ or $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$. The highest residual peak was 0.95 Å from atom H7B.

Figures




Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of the title compound showing the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. (a) Packing diagram of the title compound viewed down the *b*-axis. (b) N—H...O hydrogen bonding network in the title compound (dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds).

3-Methylanilinium nitrate

Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$

$M_r = 170.17$

Orthorhombic, *Pbca*

Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab

$a = 10.6599$ (14) Å

$b = 9.7800$ (13) Å

$c = 16.401$ (2) Å

$V = 1709.9$ (4) Å³

$Z = 8$

$F(000) = 720$

$D_x = 1.322$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 3320 reflections

$\theta = 2.5$ – 26.0°

$\mu = 0.11$ mm⁻¹

$T = 293$ K

Plate, colourless

$0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.05$ mm

Data collection

Bruker (Siemens) P4
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
graphite

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001)

1659 independent reflections

1211 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.032$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.5^\circ$

$h = -13 \rightarrow 7$

$T_{\min} = 0.968$, $T_{\max} = 0.988$
8520 measured reflections

$k = -9 \rightarrow 11$
 $l = -18 \rightarrow 20$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Least-squares matrix: full

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

$wR(F^2) = 0.135$

H-atom parameters constrained

$S = 1.06$

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0693P)^2 + 0.2901P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

1659 reflections

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

111 parameters

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

0 restraints

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
N2	0.86066 (14)	0.20637 (15)	0.24233 (8)	0.0590 (4)
C4	0.62873 (17)	0.1314 (2)	0.08553 (12)	0.0704 (5)
H4	0.6324	0.1346	0.1421	0.084*
O2	0.95202 (13)	0.13478 (15)	0.22538 (9)	0.0824 (5)
C2	0.67695 (17)	0.01475 (19)	-0.03889 (11)	0.0659 (5)
H2	0.7116	-0.0593	-0.0665	0.079*
C6	0.57062 (16)	0.23133 (16)	-0.04117 (10)	0.0573 (4)
H6	0.5344	0.3018	-0.0711	0.069*
C5	0.57263 (16)	0.23767 (18)	0.04363 (11)	0.0619 (5)
C3	0.67905 (19)	0.0215 (2)	0.04542 (12)	0.0774 (6)
H3	0.7150	-0.0494	0.0751	0.093*
C7	0.5124 (3)	0.3551 (2)	0.08774 (13)	0.0914 (7)
H7A	0.4233	0.3413	0.0899	0.137*
H7B	0.5303	0.4387	0.0594	0.137*
H7C	0.5452	0.3603	0.1422	0.137*
O3	0.77282 (13)	0.15739 (14)	0.28406 (8)	0.0733 (4)

supplementary materials

O1	0.85544 (14)	0.32743 (14)	0.22016 (8)	0.0765 (4)
N1	0.61546 (14)	0.11602 (14)	-0.17012 (8)	0.0608 (4)
H1A	0.5376	0.1350	-0.1862	0.091*
H1B	0.6366	0.0328	-0.1872	0.091*
H1C	0.6681	0.1772	-0.1911	0.091*
C1	0.62205 (14)	0.12107 (16)	-0.08055 (10)	0.0516 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N2	0.0751 (10)	0.0567 (8)	0.0453 (7)	-0.0010 (7)	-0.0051 (7)	0.0024 (6)
C4	0.0682 (12)	0.0909 (14)	0.0520 (10)	-0.0142 (10)	-0.0053 (8)	0.0093 (9)
O2	0.0812 (10)	0.0760 (9)	0.0899 (11)	0.0165 (7)	0.0129 (7)	0.0099 (7)
C2	0.0653 (11)	0.0612 (10)	0.0712 (11)	0.0056 (8)	-0.0008 (9)	0.0071 (9)
C6	0.0678 (10)	0.0511 (9)	0.0531 (10)	-0.0049 (8)	0.0013 (7)	0.0044 (7)
C5	0.0663 (11)	0.0669 (11)	0.0524 (10)	-0.0152 (9)	0.0062 (8)	-0.0010 (8)
C3	0.0746 (12)	0.0840 (14)	0.0735 (12)	0.0043 (10)	-0.0102 (10)	0.0241 (11)
C7	0.1199 (18)	0.0888 (14)	0.0655 (12)	-0.0031 (13)	0.0233 (12)	-0.0117 (11)
O3	0.0808 (9)	0.0699 (8)	0.0692 (8)	-0.0048 (7)	0.0138 (7)	0.0074 (6)
O1	0.0983 (10)	0.0568 (8)	0.0746 (9)	0.0046 (7)	0.0019 (7)	0.0138 (6)
N1	0.0742 (10)	0.0545 (8)	0.0537 (8)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0008 (7)	-0.0042 (6)
C1	0.0550 (9)	0.0499 (9)	0.0501 (9)	-0.0075 (7)	-0.0011 (7)	0.0005 (7)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

N2—O2	1.2312 (19)	C6—H6	0.9300
N2—O1	1.2398 (19)	C5—C7	1.501 (3)
N2—O3	1.2550 (18)	C3—H3	0.9300
C4—C3	1.370 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9600
C4—C5	1.382 (3)	C7—H7B	0.9600
C4—H4	0.9300	C7—H7C	0.9600
C2—C1	1.375 (2)	N1—C1	1.472 (2)
C2—C3	1.385 (3)	N1—H1A	0.8900
C2—H2	0.9300	N1—H1B	0.8900
C6—C1	1.371 (2)	N1—H1C	0.8900
C6—C5	1.392 (2)		
O2—N2—O1	120.82 (16)	C2—C3—H3	119.7
O2—N2—O3	119.76 (15)	C5—C7—H7A	109.5
O1—N2—O3	119.40 (16)	C5—C7—H7B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	121.40 (18)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C3—C4—H4	119.3	C5—C7—H7C	109.5
C5—C4—H4	119.3	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5
C1—C2—C3	117.87 (17)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5
C1—C2—H2	121.1	C1—N1—H1A	109.5
C3—C2—H2	121.1	C1—N1—H1B	109.5
C1—C6—C5	119.96 (16)	H1A—N1—H1B	109.5
C1—C6—H6	120.0	C1—N1—H1C	109.5
C5—C6—H6	120.0	H1A—N1—H1C	109.5

C4—C5—C6	118.03 (17)	H1B—N1—H1C	109.5
C4—C5—C7	121.37 (18)	C6—C1—C2	122.05 (16)
C6—C5—C7	120.59 (17)	C6—C1—N1	118.52 (14)
C4—C3—C2	120.68 (18)	C2—C1—N1	119.41 (15)
C4—C3—H3	119.7		
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.2 (3)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.5 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C7	177.24 (19)	C5—C6—C1—C2	-0.2 (2)
C1—C6—C5—C4	0.8 (2)	C5—C6—C1—N1	178.47 (14)
C1—C6—C5—C7	-177.68 (17)	C3—C2—C1—C6	0.1 (3)
C5—C4—C3—C2	1.1 (3)	C3—C2—C1—N1	-178.60 (16)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H1A \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.89	2.05	2.943 (2)	178.
N1—H1A \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.89	2.51	3.130 (2)	127.
N1—H1B \cdots O3 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.15	3.0221 (19)	167.
N1—H1B \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.37	3.078 (2)	136.
N1—H1C \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.01	2.879 (2)	166.
N1—H1C \cdots O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89	2.47	3.176 (2)	137.

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z$; (ii) $-x+3/2, -y, z-1/2$; (iii) $x, -y+1/2, z-1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

